

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING	DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/660,840	09/13/	/2000	Paul Remijan	2476.1003-001	7821
	7590	05/17/2002			
Thomas O. I		EXAMINER			
Two Militia I		MITH & REYN	MULCAHY, JOHN M		
Lexington, M	IA 02421-47	99			
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3739		
				DATE MAILED: 05/17/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		A1					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/660,840	REMIJAN ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	John M. Mulcahy	3739					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a within the statutory minimum of thi will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133)					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u> </u>						
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) Th	is action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1 80 is/ore panding in the application							
4) Claim(s) <u>1-80</u> is/are pending in the application							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	alaatian oo oo in oo oo t						
8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-80</u> are subject to restriction and/or 6 Application Papers	election requirement.						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)					
S. Patent and Trademark Office							

Application/Control Number: 09/660,840

Art Unit: 3739

Restriction

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-42 and 51-69, drawn to miniature endoscope systems, classified in class 600, subclass 160.
 - II. Claims 43-45, drawn to a method of forming a reflective boundary on a glass channel, classified in class 65, subclass 430.
 - III. Claims 46-49, drawn to a method of forming an image light channel, classified in class 65, subclass 393.
 - IV. Claim 50, drawn to a method for forming a cladding structure on an image light channel, classified in class 65, subclass 401.
 - V. Claims 70-80, drawn to a method of using a miniature endoscope, classified in class 600, subclass 122.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:
 - a. Inventions I is related to inventions II-IV are related as product and process of making. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product, e.g., optical waveguide for applications besides endoscopy. Note that

Art Unit: 3739

recitations that the methods are "for a microendoscope" merely state an intended use and do not limit their applicability.

Page 3

- b. Inventions II-IV are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different methods have different effects in that they have different end products.
- C. Inventions I and V are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case, both conditions are met: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product since it does not require the product as detailed in the product claims and (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product, e.g., without the sterile barrier of the method claims.
- Invention V is unrelated to inventions II-IV. Inventions are unrelated if it d. can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different methods have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects as invention

Application/Control Number: 09/660,840

Page 4

Art Unit: 3739

V is drawn to a method of using and inventions II-IV are drawn to methods of making and the product used in invention I is distinct from the products made in inventions II-IV.

- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification and their recognized divergent subject matter, and the search required for any one invention is not required for all of the other inventions, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. A telephone call was made to Thomas O. Hoover on April 12, 2002, to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John M. Mulcahy whose telephone number is (703) 308-3134. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 7:30-4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Linda C. M. Dvorak can be reached on (703) 308-0994. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9302 for regular communications and (703) 872-9303 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0873.

John M. Mulcahy Primary Examiner Art Unit 3739

John Mulcahy April 12, 2002